



GUILDFORD
BOROUGH

www.guildford.gov.uk

Tom Horwood
Joint Chief Executive
of Guildford and Waverley
Borough Councils

Contact Officer:

Sophie Butcher,
Democratic Services Officer

31 October 2023

Dear Councillor

Your attendance is requested at a meeting of the **PLANNING COMMITTEE** to be held in the Council Chamber, Millmead House, Millmead, Guildford, Surrey GU2 4BB on **WEDNESDAY 8 NOVEMBER 2023** at **7.00 pm**.

Whilst Committee members and key officers will be in attendance in person for the meeting, registered speakers as well as ward councillors registered to speak, may also join the meeting via MSTeams. Ward Councillors, please use the link in the Outlook Calendar invitation. Registered speakers will be sent the link upon registration. If you lose your wi-fi connectivity, please re-join using the telephone number +44 020 3855 4748. You will be prompted to input a conference ID: 364 976 496 604#.

Members of the public may watch the live webcast here:

<https://guildford.publici.tv/core/portal/home>

Yours faithfully

Tom Horwood
Joint Chief Executive



MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE

Chairman: Councillor Fiona White
Vice-Chairman: Councillor Vanessa King

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Councillor Bilal Akhtar | Councillor Patrick Oven |
| Councillor David Bilbe | Councillor Maddy Redpath |
| Councillor Yves de Contades | Councillor Joanne Shaw |
| Councillor Lizzie Griffiths | Councillor Howard Smith |
| Councillor Stephen Hives | Councillor Cait Taylor |
| Councillor James Jones | Councillor Sue Wyeth-Price |
| Councillor Richard Mills | |

Authorised Substitute Members:

| | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Councillor Sallie Barker MBE | Councillor Matt Furniss |
| Councillor Phil Bellamy | Councillor Bob Hughes |
| Councillor Joss Bigmore | Councillor Jane Tyson |
| Councillor James Brooker | Councillor James Walsh |
| Councillor Philip Brooker | Councillor Dominique Williams |
| Councillor Ruth Brothwell | Councillor Keith Witham |
| Councillor Amanda Creese | Councillor Catherine Young |
| Councillor Jason Fenwick | |

QUORUM 5

THE COUNCIL'S STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK (2021- 2025)

Our Vision:

A green, thriving town and villages where people have the homes they need, access to quality employment, with strong and safe communities that come together to support those needing help.

Our Mission:

A trusted, efficient, innovative, and transparent Council that listens and responds quickly to the needs of our community.

Our Values:

- We will put the interests of our community first.
- We will listen to the views of residents and be open and accountable in our decision-making.
- We will deliver excellent customer service.
- We will spend money carefully and deliver good value for money services.
- We will put the environment at the heart of our actions and decisions to deliver on our commitment to the climate change emergency.
- We will support the most vulnerable members of our community as we believe that every person matters.
- We will support our local economy.
- We will work constructively with other councils, partners, businesses, and communities to achieve the best outcomes for all.
- We will ensure that our councillors and staff uphold the highest standards of conduct.

Our strategic priorities:

Homes and Jobs

- Revive Guildford town centre to unlock its full potential
- Provide and facilitate housing that people can afford
- Create employment opportunities through regeneration
- Support high quality development of strategic sites
- Support our business community and attract new inward investment
- Maximise opportunities for digital infrastructure improvements and smart places technology

Environment

- Provide leadership in our own operations by reducing carbon emissions, energy consumption and waste
- Engage with residents and businesses to encourage them to act in more environmentally sustainable ways through their waste, travel, and energy choices
- Work with partners to make travel more sustainable and reduce congestion
- Make every effort to protect and enhance our biodiversity and natural environment.

Community

- Tackling inequality in our communities
- Work with communities to support those in need
- Support the unemployed back into the workplace and facilitate opportunities for residents to enhance their skills
- Prevent homelessness and rough-sleeping in the borough

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND NOTIFICATION OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

2 LOCAL CODE OF CONDUCT - DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY INTERESTS

In accordance with the local Code of Conduct, a councillor is required to disclose at the meeting any disclosable pecuniary interest (DPI) that they may have in respect of any matter for consideration on this agenda. Any councillor with a DPI must not participate in any discussion or vote regarding that matter and they must also withdraw from the meeting immediately before consideration of the matter.

If that DPI has not been registered, you must notify the Monitoring Officer of the details of the DPI within 28 days of the date of the meeting.

Councillors are further invited to disclose any non-pecuniary interest which may be relevant to any matter on this agenda, in the interests of transparency, and to confirm that it will not affect their objectivity in relation to that matter.

3 MINUTES (Pages 19 - 30)

To confirm the minutes of the meeting of the Committee held on 13 September 2023 as attached at Item 3. A copy of the minutes will be placed on the dais prior to the meeting.

4 ANNOUNCEMENTS

To receive any announcements from the Chairman of the Committee.

5 PLANNING AND RELATED APPLICATIONS (Pages 31 - 32)

All current applications: 23/P/00679 - which are not included on the above-mentioned List, will be considered at a future meeting of the Committee or determined under delegated powers. Members are requested to consider and determine the Applications set out in the Index of Applications.

5.1 23/P/00679 - Garages, land to the rear of, Bishopsmead Parade, East Horsley, KT24 (Pages 33 - 52)

6 PLANNING APPEAL DECISIONS (Pages 53 - 60)

Committee members are asked to note the details of Appeal Decisions as attached at Item 6.

WEBCASTING NOTICE

This meeting will be recorded for live and/or subsequent broadcast on the Council's website in accordance with the Council's capacity in performing a task in the public interest and in line with the Openness of Local Government Bodies Regulations 2014. The whole of the meeting will be recorded, except where there are confidential or exempt items, and the footage will be on the website for six months.

If you have any queries regarding webcasting of meetings, please contact Committee Services.

NOTES:

Procedure for determining planning and related applications:

1. A Planning Officer will present the Officer's Report by sharing the presentation on Microsoft Teams as part of the live meeting. Copies of all the presentations will be loaded onto the website to view and will be published on the working day before the meeting. Planning officers will make it clear during the course of their presentation which slides they are referring to at all times.
2. Members of the public who have registered to speak may then attend in person to address the meeting in accordance with the agreed procedure for public speaking (a maximum of two objectors followed by a maximum of two supporters). Alternatively, public speakers may join the meeting remotely. In these circumstances, public speakers will be sent an invite by the Democratic Services Officer (DSO) via Microsoft Teams to attend online or via a telephone number and conference ID code as appropriate to the public speaker's needs. Prior to the consideration of each application which qualifies for public speaking, the DSO will ensure that those public speakers who have opted to join the meeting online are in remote attendance. If public speakers cannot access the appropriate equipment to participate, or owing to unexpected IT issues experienced they cannot participate in the meeting, they are advised to submit their three-minute speech to the DSO by no later than midday the day before the meeting. In such circumstances, the DSO will read out their speech.
3. The Chairman gives planning officer's the right to reply in response to comments that have been made during the public speaking session.
4. Any councillor(s) who are not member(s) of the Planning Committee, but who wish to comment on an application, either in or outside of their ward, will be then allowed to speak for no longer than three minutes each. It will be at the Chairman's discretion to permit councillor(s) to speak for longer than three minutes. Non-Committee members should notify the DSO, in writing, by no later than midday the day before the meeting of their wish to speak and send the DSO a

copy of their speech so it can be read out on their behalf should they lose their wi-fi connection. If the application is deferred, any councillors who are not members of the Planning Committee will not be permitted to speak when the application is next considered by the Committee.

5. The Chairman will then open up the application for debate. The Chairman will ask which councillors wish to speak on the application and determine the order of speaking accordingly. At the end of the debate, the Chairman will check that all members have had an opportunity to speak should they wish to do so.
 - (a) No speech shall be longer than three minutes for all Committee members. As soon as a councillor starts speaking, the DSO will activate the timer. The DSO will advise when there are 30 seconds remaining and when the three minutes have concluded;
 - (b) No councillor to speak more than once during the debate on the application;
 - (c) Members shall avoid repetition of points made earlier in the debate.
 - (d) The Chairman gives planning officer's the right to reply in response to comments that have been made during the debate, and prior to the vote being taken.
 - (e) If, during the debate on an application, it is apparent that Committee members do not support the officer's recommendation, the Chairman shall ask if any Committee member wishes to propose a motion contrary to the officer's recommendation, subject to the proviso that the rationale behind any such motion is based on material planning considerations. Any such motion must be seconded by another Committee member.
 - (f) Where such a motion proposes a refusal, the proposer of the motion shall be expected to state the harm the proposed development would cause in planning terms, together with the relevant planning policy(ies), where possible, as the basis for the reasons for refusal. In advance of the vote, the Chairman shall discuss with the relevant

officers, the proposed reason(s) put forward to ensure that they are sufficiently precise, state the harm that would be caused, and refer to the relevant policy(ies) to justify the motion. The Committee shall take a separate vote on each proposed reason for refusal, following which the Committee shall take a vote on the motion to refuse the application based on all of the agreed reasons.

(g) Where such a motion proposes approval, the proposer of the motion shall be expected to state why the proposed development would be acceptable in planning terms, together with the relevant planning policy(ies), where possible. In advance of the vote, the Chairman shall discuss with the relevant officers the proposed reason(s) put forward to ensure that the planning reason for approval is sufficiently precise to justify the motion. In addition, the Committee shall discuss and agree the substance of the planning conditions necessary to grant a permission before taking a vote on the motion to approve.

(h) Where such a motion proposes deferral, (for example for further information/advice) the Committee shall discuss and agree the reason(s) for deferring the application, before taking a vote on the motion to defer.

(i) If the motion is not seconded, or if it is not carried, the Chairman will determine whether there is an alternative motion and, if there is not, the Chairman will move the officer's recommendation and ask another Committee member to second the motion. That motion will then be put to the vote.

(j) A simple majority vote is required for a motion to be carried. In the event of a tied vote, the Chairman will have a second, or casting vote. The vote may be taken by roll call, a show of hands or, if there is no dissent, by affirmation.

6. Unless otherwise decided by a majority of councillors present and voting at the meeting, all Planning Committee meetings shall finish by no later than 10:30pm. Any outstanding items not completed by the end of the meeting shall be adjourned to the reconvened or next ordinary meeting of the Committee.

7. In order for a planning application to be referred to the full Council for determination in its capacity as the Local Planning Authority, a councillor must first with a seconder, write/email the Democratic Services and Elections Manager detailing the rationale for the request (the proposer and seconder does not have to be a planning committee member). The Democratic Services and Elections Manager shall inform all councillors by email of the request to determine an application by full Council, including the rationale provided for that request. The matter would then be placed as an agenda item for consideration at the next Planning Committee meeting. The proposer and seconder would each be given three minutes to state their case. The decision to refer a planning application to the full Council will be decided by a majority vote of the Planning Committee.

GUIDANCE NOTE For Planning Committee Members

Probity in Planning – Role of Councillors

The Court of Appeal has held that Planning Committees are not acting in a judicial or quasi-judicial role when deciding planning applications but “in a situation of democratic accountability”. Planning Committee Members **must** therefore:

1. act fairly, openly and apolitically;
2. approach each planning application with an open mind, avoiding pre-conceived opinions;
3. carefully weigh up all relevant issues;
4. determine each application on its individual planning merits;
5. avoid undue contact with interested parties;
6. ensure that the reasons for their decisions are clearly stated and
7. consider the interests and well-being of the whole borough and not only their own ward.

The above role applies also to councillors who are nominated as substitutes to the Planning Committee.

Reason for Refusal

How a reason for refusal is constructed.

A reason for refusal should carefully describe the harm of the development as well as detailing any conflicts with policies or proposals in the development plan which are relevant to the decision.

When formulating reasons for refusal Members will need to:

- (1) Describe those elements of the proposal that are harmful, e.g. bulk, massing, lack of something, loss of something.
- (2) State what the harm is e.g. character, openness of the green belt, retail function and;
- (3) The reason will need to make reference to policy to justify the refusal.

Example

The proposed change of use would result in the loss of A1 retail frontage at Guildford Town Centre, which would be detrimental to the retail function of the town and contrary to policy SS9 in the Guildford Local Plan.

Reason for Approval

How a reason for approval is constructed.

A reason for approval should carefully detail a summary of the reasons for the grant of planning permission and a summary of the policies and proposals in the development plan, which are relevant to the decision.

Example:

The proposal has been found to comply with Green Belt policy as it relates to a replacement dwelling and would not result in any unacceptable harm to the openness or visual amenities of the Green Belt. As such the proposal is found to comply with saved policies RE2 and H6 of the Council's saved Local Plan and national Green Belt policy in the NPPF.

Reason for Deferral

Applications should only be deferred if the Committee feels that it requires further information or to enable further discussions with the applicant or in exceptional circumstances to enable a collective site visit to be undertaken.

Clear reasons for a deferral must be provided with a summary of the policies in the development plan which are relevant to the deferral.

APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION & RELATED APPLICATIONS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

NOTES:

Officer's Report

Officers have prepared a report for each planning or related application on the Planning Committee Index which details:

- Site location plan;
- Site Description;
- Proposal;
- Planning History;
- Consultations; and
- Planning Policies and Considerations.

Each report also includes a recommendation to either approve or refuse the application. Recommended reason(s) for refusal or condition(s) of approval and reason(s) including informatives are set out in full in each report.

Written Representations

Copies of representations received in respect of the applications listed are available for inspection by Councillors online via the planning portal: <https://publicaccess.guildford.gov.uk/online-applications/>.

Late representations will be summarised in a report which will be circulated at the meeting.

Planning applications and any representations received in relation to applications are available for inspection at the Planning Services reception by prior arrangement with the Executive Head of Planning Development. This information is also available online via the planning portal: <https://publicaccess.guildford.gov.uk/online-applications/>

Background Papers

In preparing the reports relating to applications referred to on the Planning Committee Index, the Officers refer to the following background documents:

- The Town and Country Planning Act 1990, Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, the Localism Act 2011 and other current Acts, Statutory Instruments and Circulars as published by the Department for Communities and Local Government (CLG).

- Guildford Borough Local Plan: Strategy and Sites 2015-2034.
- Emerging Local Plan Development Management Policies
- The South East Plan, Regional Spatial Strategy for the South East (May 2009).
- The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (March 2012)
- The Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995, as amended (2010).
- Consultation responses and other correspondence as contained in the application file, together with such other files and documents which may constitute the history of the application site or other sites in the locality.

Human Rights Act 1998

The Human Rights Act 1998 (the 1998 Act) came into effect in October 2000 when the provisions of the European Convention on Human Rights (the ECHR) were incorporated into UK Law.

The determination of the applications which are the subject of reports are considered to involve the following human rights issues:

- 1 Article 6(1): right to a fair and public hearing

In the determination of a person's civil rights and obligations everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Judgment shall be pronounced publicly but the press and public may be excluded from all or part of the hearing in certain circumstances (e.g. in the interest of morals, strictly necessary in the opinion of the court in special circumstances where publicity would prejudice the interests of justice.)

- 2 Article 8: right to respect for private and family life
(including where the article 8 rights are those of children s.11 of the Children Act 2004)

Everyone has the right to respect for his private and family life, his home and his correspondence. There shall be no interference by a public

authority with the exercise of this right except such as is in accordance with the law and is necessary in a democratic society in the interests of national security, public safety or the economic well-being of the country, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of health or morals, or for the protection of the rights and freedoms of others.

s.11 of the Children Act 2004 requires the Council to make arrangements for ensuring that their functions are discharged having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. Furthermore, any services provided by another person pursuant to arrangements made by the Council in the discharge of their functions must likewise be provided having regard to the need to safeguard and promote the welfare of children.

3 Article 14: prohibition from discrimination

The enjoyment of the rights and freedoms set out in the ECHR shall be secured without discrimination on any ground such as sex, race, colour, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, association with a national minority, property, birth or other status.

4 Article 1 Protocol 1: protection of property;

Every person is entitled to the peaceful enjoyment of his possessions. No one shall be deprived of their possessions except in the public interest and subject to the conditions provided for by law and by the general principles of international law. However, the state retains the right to enforce such laws as it deems necessary to control the use of property in accordance with the general interest or to secure the payment of taxes or other contributions or penalties.

5 Article 2 Protocol 1: right to education.

No person shall be denied the right to education.

Councillors should take account of the provisions of the 1998 Act as they relate to the applications on this agenda when balancing the competing interests of the applicants, any third party opposing the application and the community as a whole in reaching their decision. Any interference with an individual's human rights under the 1998 Act/ECHR must be just and proportionate to the objective in question and must not be arbitrary, unfair or oppressive. Having had regard to those matters in the light of the convention rights referred to above your officers consider that the

recommendations are in accordance with the law, proportionate and both necessary to protect the rights and freedoms of others and in the public interest.

Costs

In planning appeals the parties involved normally meet their own costs. Most appeals do not result in a costs application. A costs award where justified is an order which states that one party shall pay to another party the costs, in full or in part, which have been incurred during the process by which the Secretary of State or Inspector's decision is reached. Any award made will not necessarily follow the outcome of the appeal. An unsuccessful appellant is not expected to reimburse the planning authority for the costs incurred in defending the appeal. Equally the costs of a successful appellant are not borne by the planning authority as a matter of course.

However, where:

- A party has made a timely application for costs
- The party against whom the award is sought has behaved unreasonably; and
- The unreasonable behaviour has directly caused the party applying for the costs to incur unnecessary or wasted expense in the appeal process a full or partial award is likely.

The word "unreasonable" is used in its ordinary meaning as established in the courts in *Manchester City Council v SSE & Mercury Communications Limited 1988 JPL 774*. Behaviour which is regarded as unreasonable may be procedural or substantive in nature. Procedural relates to the process. Substantive relates to the issues arising on the appeal. The authority is at risk of an award of costs against it if it prevents or delays development, which should clearly be permitted having regard to the development plan. The authority must produce evidence to show clearly why the development cannot be permitted. The authority's decision notice must be carefully framed and should set out the full reasons for refusal. Reasons should be complete, precise, specific and relevant to the application. The Planning authority must produce evidence at appeal stage to substantiate each reason for refusal with reference to the development plan and all other material considerations. If the authority cannot do so it is at risk of a costs award being made against it for unreasonable behaviour. The key test is whether evidence is produced on appeal which provides a respectable basis for the authority's stance in the light of *R v SSE ex parte North Norfolk DC 1994 2 PLR 78*. If one reason is not properly supported but substantial

evidence has been produced in support of the others a partial award may be made against the authority. Further advice can be found in the *Department of Communities and Local Government Circular 03/2009* and now *Planning Practice Guidance: Appeals paragraphs 027-064 inclusive*.